# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

# DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

**United States of America** 

٧.

#### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Alberto Cruz Reyes	Case Number: 18-9288MJ	
	clude that the following facts are estable by clear and convincing evidence the the detention of the defendant pendi	he defendant is a flight risk and require the detention	
	PART I	FINDINGS OF FACT	
$\boxtimes$	⊠ an offense for which a maxim	to believe that the defendant has committed num term of imprisonment of ten years or more is 11 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et	
	☐ an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ ☐ an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. §	2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a of ten years or more is prescribed.	
	(2) The defendant has not that no condition or combined	rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 nation of conditions will reasonably assure the as required and the safety of the community.	
Alternative Findings			
		sk that the defendant will flee; no condition or reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant	
	(2) No condition or combina of others and the community.	ation of conditions will reasonably assure the safety	
		nat the defendant will obstruct or attempt to obstruct ntimidate a prospective witness or juror.	

#### PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

(Check one or both, as applicable.)

		(1) I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
		(2) I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of .
	The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report except:	
$\boxtimes$	In ad	dition:
	Residential placement at Crossroads and the commensurate substance abuse treatment as well as accountability for sobriety, particularly in the first 60 days of placement, is incompatible with travel to/from the charging district.	

The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

## PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P., Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections may waive the right to review. See Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Judge to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 26th day of July, 2018.

Honorable Deborah M. Fine United States Magistrate Judge